

# Table of Contents

Acronyms.....	1
Chapter 1: Purpose and Need.....	1-1
1.1 Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.2 Decisions To Be Made.....	1-4
1.3 Project History and Status.....	1-4
1.4 Purpose of the Project.....	1-9
1.5 Secondary Purposed of the Project.....	1-9
1.6 Need for the Project.....	1-9
1.7 Purpose and Need Objectives.....	1-19
Chapter 2: Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Proposed Action.....	2-1
2.3 Independent Utility and Logical Termini.....	2-1
2.4 Alternative Screening and Development Process.....	2-2
2.5 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated.....	2-6
2.6 Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Evaluation.....	2-9
2.7 Summary of Alternatives Considered.....	2-24
Chapter 3: Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences....	3-1
3.1 Land Use.....	3-1
3.2 Farmlands.....	3-7
3.3 Social Impacts.....	3-9
3.3.1 Community Character and Cohesion.....	3-9
3.3.2 Relocations.....	3-12
3.3.3 Public Facilities, Services, and Utilities.....	3-16
3.3.4 Recreational Resources.....	3-19
3.3.5 Environmental Justice Population.....	3-22
3.4 Economics.....	3-26
3.5 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Considerations.....	3-32
3.6 Air Quality.....	3-36
3.7 Noise.....	3-43
3.8 Geology, Soils, and Topography.....	3-53
3.9 Water Resources.....	3-55
3.10 Floodplains.....	3-69
3.11 Wild and Scenic Rivers.....	3-71
3.12 Water Quality.....	3-72
3.13 Wildlife.....	3-87
3.14 Invasive Species.....	3-94
3.15 Historic, Archeological, and Paleontological Resources.....	3-95
3.16 Hazardous Waste.....	3-104
3.17 Visual Quality .....	3-106
3.18 Cumulative Impacts.....	3-110

Chapter 4: Section 4(f) Evaluation .....	4-1
4.1 Project Overview.....	4-1
4.2 Purpose and Need.....	4-1
4.3 Regulations.....	4-1
4.4 Proposed Action.....	4-3
4.5 Identification of Section 4(f) Resources.....	4-3
4.6 Use of Section 4(f) Properties.....	4-12
4.7 Measures to Minimize Harm.....	4-31
4.8 Determination.....	4-33
Chapter 5: Mitigation.....	5-1
Chapter 6: Comments and Coordination.....	6-1
6.1 Scoping Process.....	6-2
6.2 Consultation and Coordination with Public Agencies .....	6-4
6.3 Consultation and Coordination with Native American Tribes.....	6-4
6.4 Other Public Participation Activities .....	6-5
6.5 Summary of Comments and Responses .....	6-8
References.....	1
Appendix A: Correspondence.....	1
Appendix B: Supporting Data.....	1
Appendix C: DOE/FOE.....	1

### **Tables**

Table 1-1: Categories of LOS.....	1-10
Table 1-2: Utah County Population Forecasts.....	1-12
Table 1-3: Existing and 2030 Intersection LOS.....	1-14
Table 1-4: Existing and 2030 Corridor Travel Time in Minutes.....	1-16
Table 1-5: Access Density.....	1-18
Table 1-6: Purpose and Need Objectives.....	1-20
Table 2-1: Summary of Alternatives Considered.....	2-24
Table 3-1: Relocation Residences.....	3-13
Table 3-2: Existing Facilities.....	3-16
Table 3-3: Recreational Resources.....	3-20
Table 3-4: Low-Income Populations.....	3-25
Table 3-5: Minority Populations.....	3-25
Table 3-6: Population Projections.....	3-26
Table 3-7: Population and Median Household Income.....	3-26
Table 3-8: Types of Businesses.....	3-27
Table 3-9: Estimated Construction Labor and Wages.....	3-29
Table 3-10: Estimated Construction Worker Spending in Study Area.....	3-30
Table 3-11: Potential Revenue Loss Summary.....	3-31
Table 3-12: Induced Impacts Associated with Each Alternative.....	3-31
Table 3-13: Non-Motorized Trips in the Study Area.....	3-34
Table 3-14: Bicyclist and Pedestrian Counts During P.M. Peak Hour.....	3-34
Table 3-15: 2030 No-Build Alternative Intersection Approach ADT Volume.....	3-38

Table 3-16: 2030 Predicted Preferred Alternative Mainline ADT Volume.....	3-39
Table 3-17: 2030 Preferred Alternative Intersection Approach ADT Volume.....	3-40
Table 3-18: Background CO Levels for Utah County.....	3-41
Table 3-19: CO CAL3QHC Modeling Results.....	3-41
Table 3-20: PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Data Summary for Lindon Station, Utah County.....	3-42
Table 3-21: PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Data Summary for Highland Station, Utah County.....	3-42
Table 3-22: UDOT Traffic Noise Abatement Criteria.....	3-43
Table 3-23: Field Noise Measurements.....	3-46
Table 3-24: Comparison of Existing Versus Future Preferred Alternative and No-Build Alternative for Noise Monitoring Locations.....	3-48
Table 3-25: Mitigation Assessment.....	3-50
Table 3-26: Potential Water Body Impacts Under the Preferred Alternative.....	3-64
Table 3-27: Beneficial Use Assessment.....	3-76
Table 3-28: Public Drinking Water Sources Within One Half-Mile of Corridor.....	3-79
Table 3-29: Wells Within Groundwater Study Area.....	3-80
Table 3-30: Conceptual Drainage Design.....	3-84
Table 3-31: Table of Permits and Approvals.....	3-86
Table 3-32: Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species for Utah County, Utah.....	3-88
Table 3-33: Utah Sensitive Species Listed for Utah County, Utah.....	3-88
Table 3-34: Habitat Categories and Acreage Within SR-92 Project Area.....	3-89
Table 3-35: Mule Deer Road Kills Along the SR-92 Project Area Between I-15 and SR-146.....	3-91
Table 3-36: Acreage of Wildlife Habitats Potentially Directly Impacted by the Preferred Alternative.....	3-99
Table 3-37: List of Invasive Weed Species Located Within the SR-92 Project Area.....	3-95
Table 3-38: Archeological Resources.....	3-97
Table 3-39: Historic Buildings.....	3-98
Table 3-40: Archeological Sites and Historic Buildings.....	3-102
Table 3-41: Hazardous Waste Sites.....	3-105
Table 3-42: Present and Reasonably Forseeable Transportation Actions.....	3-111
Table 3-43: Major Developments in the Permitting Process or Under Construction.....	3-114
Table 3-44: Units Permitted from 2005 to First Quarter of 2007.....	3-114
Table 3-45: Sources of Water Quality Impairment in the Jordan River and Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	3-121
Table 4-1: Historic Properties (West to East Along the Project Corridor).....	4-6
Table 4-2: Existing Publicly Owned Recreational Resources.....	4-8
Table 4-3: Planned Publicly Owned Recreational Resources.....	4-9
Table 4-4: Historic Properties Used by the Preferred Alternative.....	4-27
Table 4-5: Existing and Planned Publicly Owned Recreational Resources Not Used by the Preferred Alternative.....	4-30
Table 4-6: Existing and Planned Publicly Owned Recreational Resources Used by the Preferred Alternative.....	4-31
Table 5-1: Contact Information for Fire, Police, Public Utilities, and Schools Within Project Area.....	5-2
Table 5-2: Table of Permits and Approvals.....	5-8
Table 6-1: Summary of Public and Agency Involvement and Coordination Activities....	6-1
Table 6-2: Agency Coordination.....	6-2

## **Figures**

Figure 1-1: Project Location.....	1-2
Figure 1-1: Project Area.....	1-3
Figure 1-3: Proposed Projects in the Area.....	1-8
Figure 1-4: Existing P.M. Peak-Hour Conditions.....	1-11
Figure 1-5: Total Households/Total Employment 2005-2030.....	1-13
Figure 1-6: 2030 No-Build P.M. Peak-Hour Conditions.....	1-15
Figure 2-1: Alternative Screening Process.....	2-3
Figure 2-2: Arterial with At-Grade Intersections.....	2-8
Figure 2-3: Arterial with Grade-Separated Intersections.....	2-9
Figure 2-4: No-Build Alternative.....	2-11
Figure 2-5: No-Build Alternative with Typical Sections.....	2-12
Figure 2-6: Arterial with Express Lanes (Preferred Alternative).....	2-15
Figure 2-7: Arterial with Express Lanes (Typical Sections).....	2-16
Figure 2-8: 2030 Express Lane/Arterial P.M. Peak-Hour Conditions.....	2-20
Figure 3-1: SR-92 Study Area.....	3-2
Figure 3-2: Land Use Study Area Boundaries.....	3-3
Figure 3-3: Current Land Use.....	3-4
Figure 3-4: Corridor Zoning.....	3-5
Figure 3-5: Future Land Use.....	3-6
Figure 3-6: Neighborhoods.....	3-10
Figure 3-7: Relocations.....	3-14
Figure 3-8: Public Services.....	3-18
Figure 3-9: Existing and Planned Recreational Resources .....	3-21
Figure 3-10: Environmental Justice Study Area.....	3-24
Figure 3-11: Economic Centers.....	3-28
Figure 3-12: Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	3-33
Figure 3-13: Field Noise Measurement Locations.....	3-45
Figure 3-14: Existing Noise and Impacts.....	3-47
Figure 3-15: Preferred Alternative 2030 Noise and Impacts.....	3-49
Figure 3-16: Preferred Alternative Modeled Noise Wall Locations.....	3-52
Figure 3-17: Water Resources.....	3-61
Figure 3-18a: Water Resources Impacts (West).....	3-66
Figure 3-18a: Water Resources Impacts (Central).....	3-67
Figure 3-18b: Water Resources Impacts (East).....	3-68
Figure 3-19: Watershed Boundaries and Surface Waters.....	3-74
Figure 3-20: Groundwater System in Northern Utah Valley.....	3-77
Figure 3-21: Groundwater.....	3-78
Figure 3-22: Conceptual Drainage Design.....	3-82
Figure 3-23: Dry Well.....	3-84
Figure 3-24: Historic Resources.....	3-100
Figure 3-25: View of Wasatch Mountains from Dry Creek, Facing East.....	3-106
Figure 3-26: View of Trees on the North Side of SR-92 (5730 West).....	3-107
Figure 3-27: View of American Fork River from Below SR-146, Facing West.....	3-108
Figure 3-28: View of SR-92 from Bull River Road, Facing Northwest.....	3-109
Figure 3-29: Nitrogen Annual Averages.....	3-116
Figure 3-30: Three-Year Average, Eight-Hour Ozone Concentration.....	3-116
Figure 3-31: PM <sub>10</sub> Highest 24-Hour Concentration.....	3-117
Figure 3-32: PM <sub>2.5</sub> Three Year Average of 98 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 24-Hour Concentration....	3-117
Figure 3-33: Sulfur Dioxide 24-Hour Value.....	3-118
Figure 3-34: Utah Lake/Jordan River Watershed Management Unit.....	3-120

Figure 4-1: Eligible Historic Properties.....	4-5
Figure 4-2: Existing and Planned Recreational Resources.....	4-11
Figure 4-3: Historic Properties Impacted by the Preferred Alternative.....	4-14